

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

STC No. 8 of 2006

Date of decision: September 7, 2007

M/s Chemscraft Industries (Delhi)

---Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana Tax Tribunal and another

---Respondent

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Bahri, DAG, Haryana.
For the respondents.

M.M.KUMAR J.

It is conceded position that no application under Section 42(1) of Haryana General Sales Tax Act, 1973 has been filed before the Tribunal seeking reference on a question of law for the opinion of this Court.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall file such an application within two weeks. He further states that since the matter is pending, an objection with regard to delay in filing the application may be raised by the respondents.

Ms. Ritu Bahri, learned State counsel states that if an application is filed seeking condonation of delay, no serious objection will be raised before the Tribunal.

In view of the above, the petitioner is granted liberty to withdraw the petition and move an appropriate application before the

Tribunal in terms of the statement given by the learned counsel for the parties.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

September 7, 2007
paramjit